arXiv:1105.1200v1 [math.DG] 6 May 2011

A MEAN CURVATURE FLOW ALONG A KÄHLER-RICCI FLOW

XIAOLI HAN, JIAYU LI

Abstract. Let $(M,\overline{g})$ be a Kähler surface, and Σ an immersed surface in M. The Kähler angle of Σ in M is introduced by Chern-Wolfson [9]. Let $(M,\overline{g}(t))$ evolve along the Kähler-Ricci flow, and Σ_t in $(M,\overline{g}(t))$ evolve along the mean curvature flow. We show that the Kähler angle $\alpha(t)$ satisfies the evolution equation:

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta)\cos\alpha=|\overline{\nabla}J_{\Sigma_t}|^2\cos\alpha+R\sin^2\alpha\cos\alpha,$$

where R is the scalar curvature of $(M,\overline{g}(t))$.

The equation implies that, if the initial surface is symplectic (Lagrangian), then along the flow, Σ_t is always symplectic (Lagrangian) at each time t, which we call a symplectic (Lagrangian) Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow.

In this paper, we mainly study the symplectic Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that $(M,J,\omega,\overline{g})$ is a Kähler surface. Let Σ be a compact oriented real surface which is smoothly immersed in M, the Kähler angle α of Σ in M is defined by Chern-Wolfson ([9])

$$\omega|_{\Sigma}=\cos\alpha d\mu_{\Sigma} \tag{1.1}$$

where $d\mu_{\Sigma}$ is the area element of Σ of the induced metric from $\overline{g}$. We say that Σ is a symplectic surface if $\cos\alpha>0$, Σ is a holomorphic curve if $\cos\alpha\equiv 1$ and Σ is a Lagrangian surface if $\cos\alpha\equiv 0$.

If M is a Kähler-Einstein surface, a symplectic mean curvature flow in M was studied by Chen-Tian [6], Chen-Li [4], Wang [32] and Han-Li [18], etc. The main point is that, along the mean curvature flow, the Kähler angle satisfies a parabolic equation. However, without the Einstein condition, one can not have the nice equation. In this paper we find that, if M evolves along the Kähler-Ricci flow, the Kähler angle satisfies the same parabolic equation.

Now let $\overline{g}(t)$ evolve along the Kähler-Ricci flow on M and Σ evolve along the mean curvature flow in $(M,\overline{g}(t))$, that is,

$$\begin{cases}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\overline{g}(t,\cdot) &= -\overline{Ric}(g(t,\cdot))+\frac{r}{2}\overline{g}(t,\cdot)\\ \frac{d}{dt}F_t &= \vec{H}\\ \overline{g}(0,\cdot) &= \overline{g}_0\\ F(\cdot,0) &= F_0\end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

The first author was supported by NSF in China, No.10901088. The second author was supported by NSF in China, No. 11071236.

where $F_0 : \Sigma_0 \to (M, \overline{g}_0)$ is the initial immersion and $\vec{H}$ is the mean curvature vector of Σ_t in $(M, \overline{g}(t))$, and r is a constant. We call it a Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow, denoted by $(M, \overline{g}(t), \Sigma_t)$.

The Ricci flow was introduced by Hamilton [12] in order to study the famous Poincaré conjecture, which was finally achieved by Perelman ([24], [25], [26]). The Kähler-Ricci flow was introduced by Cao [1] to study Calabi conjecture, which was studied by many authors (see [8], [30], [28], [29]). The mean curvature flow was intensively studied by Huisken [16], [17]. Recall that, if $C_1(M) < 0$ $(= 0, > 0)$, choosing $r = -2$ $(0, 2)$ and the initial Kähler form with $c_1(M)$ as its Kähler class, Cao [1] proved that the Kähler-Ricci flow exists globally, and converges to a Kähler-Einstein metric at infinity in the case that $C_1(M) \leq 0$.

The main point of this paper is to derive the evolution equation of the Kähler angle along the Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow. The purpose is to find symplectic minimal surface, and especially holomorphic curves in Kähler surfaces.

Assume that Σ_t evolves along the Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow in $(M, \overline{g}(t))$. We show that the evolution equation of $\cos\alpha$ is

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta)\cos\alpha = |\overline{\nabla} J_{\Sigma_t}|^2 \cos\alpha + \overline{R}\sin^2\alpha\cos\alpha,$$

where $\overline{R}$ is the scalar curvature of $(M, \overline{g}(t))$, and $|\overline{\nabla} J_{\Sigma_t}|^2$ will be defined in (4.1).

The same equation is obtained independently by Chen-Li [4] and Wang [32] (also see [6]), for a symplectic mean curvature flow in a Kähler-Einstein surface. By the maximum principle for parabolic equations, we see that, if the initial surface is symplectic (Lagrangian), then along the Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow Σ_t is always symplectic (Lagrangian), which we call *a symplectic (Lagrangian) Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow*. We will show in a forthcoming paper [20] that, Lagrangian is preserved by Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow in any dimension.

In this paper, we will show that a symplectic Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow does not develop Type I singularity under the assumption that Kähler-Ricci flow does not develop any singularity. If the Kähler surface is sufficiently close to a Kähler-Einstein surface and the initial surface is sufficiently close to a holomorphic curve, then the symplectic Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow exists globally and converges to a holomorphic curve in a Kähler-Einstein surface at infinity.

Suppose that $M = M_1 \times M_2$ where M_1, M_2 are Riemann surfaces with unit Kähler forms ω_1, ω_2. If $(M_1, \overline{g}_1(0))$ and $(M_2, \overline{g}_2(0))$ have the same average scalar curvature, and the initial surface is a graph with $\langle e_1 \times e_2, \omega_1\rangle > \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ where e_1, e_2 is an orthonormal frame of the initial surface, then the Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow exists globally. In addition, if the scalar curvature of M_1, M_2 is positive, then the Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow converges to a totally geodesic surface at infinity. The same result was also proved by Chen-Li-Tian [7] and Wang [32] in the case that M_1, M_2 have the same constant curvature.

Throughout this paper we will adopt the following ranges of indices:

$$A, B, \cdots = 1, \cdots, 4,$$

$$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \cdots = 3, 4,$$
$$i, j, k, \cdots = 1, 2.$$

2. SHORT TIME EXISTENCE

In this section, we show the short time existence for the Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow (1.2).

Theorem 2.1. *The evolution equation (1.2) has a solution $(M, \overline{g}(t), \Sigma_t)$ for a short time with any smooth compact initial surface Σ_0 at $t = 0$, that is, the solution of (1.2) exists on a maximum time interval [0, T).*

Proof. It is well-known that there exists $T_1 > 0$ such that the Ricci flow exists on $[0, T_1)$. Let Σ evolves by the mean curvature flow in $(M, \overline{g}(t))$ for $t < T_1$. Now we use a trick of De Turck [11] to prove the short time existence of $g(t)$.

Recall the Gauss-Weingarten equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 F^\alpha}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - \Gamma^k_{ij} \frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial x^k} + \overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\rho\sigma} \frac{\partial F^\rho}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial F^\sigma}{\partial x^j} = h^\beta_{ij} v^\alpha_\beta,$$

Γ^k_{ij} is the Christoffel symbol of $g(t)$ and $\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\rho\sigma}$ is the Christoffel symbol of $\overline{g}(t)$. Note that,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{g(t)} F &= g^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j F \\ &= g^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - \Gamma^k_{ij} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

So the mean curvature flow equation can be written as

$$\frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial t} = \Delta_{g(t)} F^\alpha + g^{ij} \overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\rho\sigma}(t) \frac{\partial F^\rho}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial F^\sigma}{\partial x^j}$$

which is not the strictly parabolic equation. In order to apply the standard theory of strictly parabolic equation to get short time existence, we use a trick of De Turck by modifying the flow through a diffeomorphism of the parameter space of Σ. We consider the following equation

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{F}^\alpha}{\partial t} = \Delta_{g(t)} \tilde{F}^\alpha + g^{ij} \overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\rho\sigma}(t) \frac{\partial \tilde{F}^\rho}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial \tilde{F}^\sigma}{\partial x^j} + v^k \nabla_k \tilde{F}^\alpha, \tag{2.1}$$

where the vector field v^k will be chosen to make the equation strictly parabolic. In fact, if $\tilde{F}(y, t)$ is a solution of (2.1), then

$$F(x, t) = \tilde{F}(y(x, t), t)$$

satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F^\alpha}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{F}^\alpha}{\partial t} + \nabla_k \tilde{F}^\alpha \cdot \frac{dy^k}{dt} \\ &= \Delta_{g(t)} \tilde{F}^\alpha + g^{ij} \overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\rho\sigma}(t) \frac{\partial \tilde{F}^\rho}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial \tilde{F}^\sigma}{\partial x^j} + (v^k + \frac{dy^k}{dt}) \nabla_k \tilde{F}^\alpha \\ &= \Delta_{g(t)} F^\alpha + g^{ij} \overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\rho\sigma}(t) \frac{\partial F^\rho}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial F^\sigma}{\partial x^j} \end{aligned}$$

by choosing

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy^k}{dt} &= -v^k(x,t), \\ y^k|_{t=0} &= x^k. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Now we pick

$$v^k = g^{ij}(\Gamma^k_{ij}(t) - \Gamma^k_{ij}(0)).$$

The equation (2.1) becomes

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{F}^\alpha}{\partial t} = g^{ij}\{\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{F}^\alpha}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - \Gamma^k_{ij}(0)\frac{\partial \tilde{F}^\alpha}{\partial x^k}\} + g^{ij}\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\rho\sigma}(t)\frac{\partial \tilde{F}^\rho}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial \tilde{F}^\sigma}{\partial x^j}$$

which is a strictly parabolic equation. Since $\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\rho\sigma}(t)$ is uniformly bounded in $[0,T)$, then by the standard theory of parabolic equations we get the short time existence of the mean curvature flow (c.f. [22]). Thus there exists $T > 0$ such that the Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow exists on [0, T). Q. E. D.

If the Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow blows up at T, there are two possibilities. One is the Kähler-Ricci flow blows up at T, another one is the mean curvature flow blows up at T. Let's state some fundamental results regarding the singularity of the Ricci flow and the mean curvature flow.

Theorem 2.2. [27] *If the Ricci curvature is uniformly bounded under the Ricci flow* $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{ij} = -2R_{ij}$ *for all times* $t \in [0,T)$*, then the solution can be extended beyond* T*.*

Theorem 2.3. [16] *If the second fundamental form is uniformly bounded under the mean curvature flow* $\frac{dF}{dt} = \vec{H}$ *for all times* $t \in [0,T)$*, then the solution can be extended beyond* T*.*

3. EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

In this section the evolution equations of the metric and the second fundamental form of Σ_t will be derived along the Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow (1.2). In terms of coordinates $\{x^i\}$ on Σ_t and coordinates $\{y^A\}$ on $(M, \overline{g}(t))$, the metric of Σ can be expressed as follows:

$$g_{ij}(x,t) = \overline{g}_{AB}(F(x,t),t)\frac{\partial F^A_t}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial F^B_t}{\partial x^j}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *The metric of* Σ_t *satisfies the evolution equation*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{ij} = -2H^\alpha h^\alpha_{ij} - \overline{R}_{ij} + \frac{r}{2}g_{ij}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{ij} &= \overline{g}_{AB,C}\frac{\partial F^C_t}{\partial t}\frac{\partial F^A_t}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial F^B_t}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\overline{g}_{AB}\frac{\partial F^A_t}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial F^B_t}{\partial x^j} + 2\overline{g}_{AB}(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}(\frac{\partial F^A_t}{\partial t})\frac{\partial F^B_t}{\partial x^j} \\ &= -\overline{Ric}(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^j}) + \frac{r}{2}\overline{g}_{AB}\frac{\partial F^A_t}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial F^B_t}{\partial x^j} - 2H^\alpha h^\alpha_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\overline{R}_{ij} + \frac{r}{2}g_{ij} - 2H^{\alpha}h^{\alpha}_{ij}.$$

Q. E. D.

From the evolution equation of the metric we can get the evolution equation of the area element.

Corollary 3.2. *Set $\tilde{R} = \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\overline{R}_{ij}$, the area element of Σ_t satisfies the following equation.*

$$\frac{d}{dt}d\mu_t = (-|H|^2 - \tilde{R} + r)d\mu_t, \tag{3.2}$$

and consequently,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(e^{-rt}\int_{\Sigma_t} d\mu_t) = -e^{-rt}\int_{\Sigma_t}(|H|^2 + \tilde{R})d\mu_t. \tag{3.3}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Under the flow (1.2), the second fundamental form h^{α}_{ij} satisfies the following equation.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}h^{\alpha}_{ij} \;=\;& \Delta h^{\alpha}_{ij} + \overline{\nabla}_k\overline{R}_{\alpha ijk} + \overline{\nabla}_j\overline{R}_{\alpha kik} \\
& -2\overline{R}_{lijk}h^{\alpha}_{lk} + 2\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta jk}h^{\beta}_{ik} + 2\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta ik}h^{\beta}_{jk} \\
& -\overline{R}_{lkik}h^{\alpha}_{lj} - \overline{R}_{lkjk}h^{\alpha}_{li} + \overline{R}_{\alpha k\beta k}h^{\beta}_{ij} \\
& -h^{\alpha}_{im}(H^{\gamma}h^{\gamma}_{mj} - h^{\gamma}_{mk}h^{\gamma}_{jk}) \\
& -h^{\alpha}_{mk}(h^{\gamma}_{mj}h^{\gamma}_{ik} - h^{\gamma}_{mk}h^{\gamma}_{ij}) \qquad (3.4) \\
& -h^{\beta}_{ik}(h^{\beta}_{lj}h^{\alpha}_{lk} - h^{\beta}_{lk}h^{\alpha}_{lj}) \\
& -h^{\alpha}_{jk}h^{\beta}_{ik}H^{\beta} + h^{\beta}_{ij}b^{\alpha}_{\beta} \\
& -\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta}h^{\beta}_{ij} + \frac{r}{2}h^{\alpha}_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\nabla}_i\overline{R}_{j\alpha} + \overline{\nabla}_j\overline{R}_{i\alpha} - \overline{\nabla}_{\alpha}\overline{R}_{ij}). \qquad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{\nabla}$ is the covariant derivative of $(M, \overline{g}(t))$ and $b^{\alpha}_{\beta} = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}\rangle$. In particular, $|A|^2$ satisfies the following equation along the flow (1.2).

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}|A|^2 \;=\;& \Delta|A|^2 - 2|\nabla A|^2 + 2[\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{R}_{\alpha ijk} + \overline{\nabla}_j\overline{R}_{\alpha kik}]h^{\alpha}_{ij} \\
& -4\overline{R}_{lijk}h^{\alpha}_{lk}h^{\alpha}_{ij} + 8\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta jk}h^{\beta}_{ik}h^{\alpha}_{ij} - 4\overline{R}_{lkik}h^{\alpha}_{lj}h^{\alpha}_{ij} + 2\overline{R}_{\alpha k\beta k}h^{\beta}_{ij}h^{\alpha}_{ij} \\
& +2\sum_{\alpha,\gamma,i,m}(\sum_k h^{\alpha}_{ik}h^{\gamma}_{mk} - h^{\alpha}_{mk}h^{\gamma}_{ik})^2 + 2\sum_{i,j,m,k}(\sum_{\alpha} h^{\alpha}_{ij}h^{\alpha}_{mk})^2 \\
& +2\overline{R}_{ik}h^{\alpha}_{ij}h^{\alpha}_{kj} - 2\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta}h^{\beta}_{ij}h^{\alpha}_{ij} - r|A|^2 \\
& -\frac{1}{2}h^{\alpha}_{ij}(\overline{\nabla}_i\overline{R}_{j\alpha} + \overline{\nabla}_j\overline{R}_{i\alpha} - \overline{\nabla}_{\alpha}\overline{R}_{ij}). \qquad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

More generally, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}|\nabla^m A|^2 = \triangle|\nabla^m A|^2 - 2|\nabla^{m+1}A|^2 + \sum_{i+j+k=m}\nabla^i A * \nabla^j A * \nabla^k A * \nabla^m A, \tag{3.7}$$

where we denote by $\nabla^i A * \nabla^j A * \nabla^k A$ *the linear combination of* $\nabla^i A, \nabla^j A$ *and* $\nabla^k A$.

Proof. By (7.4) in [32], the Laplacian of h^α_{ij} satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta h^\alpha_{ij} &= H^\alpha_{,ij} - (\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{R})_{\alpha ijk} - (\overline{\nabla}_j\overline{R})_{\alpha ijk} \\ &\quad +2\overline{R}_{lijk}h^\alpha_{lk} - 2\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta jk}h^\beta_{ik} - 2\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta ik}h^\beta_{jk} - \overline{R}_{\alpha ij\beta}H^\beta \\ &\quad +\overline{R}_{lkik}h^\alpha_{lj} + \overline{R}_{lkjk}h^\alpha_{li} - \overline{R}_{\alpha k\beta k}h^\beta_{ij} \\ &\quad +h^\alpha_{im}(H^\gamma h^\gamma_{mj} - h^\gamma_{mk}h^\gamma_{jk}) \\ &\quad +h^\alpha_{mk}(h^\gamma_{mj}h^\gamma_{ik} - h^\gamma_{mk}h^\gamma_{ij}) \\ &\quad +h^\beta_{ik}(h^\beta_{lj}h^\alpha_{lk} - h^\beta_{lk}h^\alpha_{lj}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Now we compute $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h^\alpha_{ij}$. Since $h^\alpha_{ij} = \langle\overline{\nabla}_i e_j, e_\alpha\rangle_{\overline{g}} = \overline{g}_{\alpha A}(\overline{\nabla}_i e_j)^A e_\alpha$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}h^\alpha_{ij} = \frac{d}{dt}\overline{g}_{\alpha A}(\overline{\nabla}_i e_j)^A, e_\alpha + \langle\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\overline{\nabla})(e_i e_j), e_\alpha\rangle + \langle\overline{\nabla}_H\overline{\nabla}_i e_j, e_\alpha\rangle + \langle\overline{\nabla}_i e_j, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_\alpha\rangle. \tag{3.9}$$

Using (7.5) in [32], we have

$$\langle\overline{\nabla}_H\overline{\nabla}_i e_j, e_\alpha\rangle = H^\alpha_{,ij} - H^\beta h^\beta_{ik}h^\alpha_{jk} - H^\beta\overline{R}_{\beta ji\alpha}.$$

Using (2.24) in [10], we get that

$$\langle(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\overline{\nabla})(e_i, e_j), e_\alpha\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}(\overline{\nabla}_i\overline{R}_{j\alpha} + \overline{\nabla}_j\overline{R}_{i\alpha} - \overline{\nabla}_\alpha\overline{R}_{ij}).$$

Set $\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e_\alpha, e_\beta\rangle = b^\beta_\alpha$. Putting these equations into (3.9) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}h^\alpha_{ij} &= -(\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{r}{2}\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta})h^\beta_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\nabla}_i\overline{R}_{j\alpha} + \overline{\nabla}_j\overline{R}_{i\alpha} - \overline{\nabla}_\alpha\overline{R}_{ij}) \\ &\quad +H^\alpha_{,ij} - H^\beta h^\beta_{ik}h^\alpha_{jk} - H^\beta R_{\beta ji\alpha} + h^\beta_{ij}b^\alpha_\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Combining equations (3.8) and (3.10), we get the parabolic equation (3.4) for h^α_{ij}. Since $|A|^2 = g^{ik}g^{jl}h^\alpha_{ij}h^\alpha_{kl}$, by (3.1) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}|A|^2 &= 2(\frac{d}{dt}g^{ik})h^\alpha_{ij}h^\alpha_{kj} + 2(\frac{d}{dt}h^\alpha_{ij})h^\alpha_{ij} \\ &= 2(2H^\beta h^\beta_{ik} + \overline{R}_{ik} - rg_{ik})h^\alpha_{ij}h^\alpha_{kj} \\ &\quad +2h^\alpha_{ij}[\Delta h^\alpha_{ij} + \overline{\nabla}_k\overline{R}_{\alpha ijk} + \overline{\nabla}_j\overline{R}_{\alpha kik} \\ &\quad -2\overline{R}_{lijk}h^\alpha_{lk} + 2\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta jk}h^\beta_{ik} + 2\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta ik}h^\beta_{jk} \\ &\quad -\overline{R}_{lkik}h^\alpha_{lj} - \overline{R}_{lkjk}h^\alpha_{li} + \overline{R}_{\alpha k\beta k}h^\beta_{ij} \\ &\quad -h^\alpha_{im}(H^\gamma h^\gamma_{mj} - h^\gamma_{mk}h^\gamma_{jk}) \\ &\quad -h^\alpha_{mk}(h^\gamma_{mj}h^\gamma_{ik} - h^\gamma_{mk}h^\gamma_{ij}) \\ &\quad -h^\beta_{ik}(h^\beta_{lj}h^\alpha_{lk} - h^\beta_{lk}h^\alpha_{lj}) \\ &\quad -h^\alpha_{jk}h^\beta_{ik}H^\beta + h^\beta_{ij}b^\alpha_\beta \end{aligned}$$

$$-\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta}h^{\beta}_{ij}+\frac{r}{2}h^{\alpha}_{ij}-\frac{1}{2}(\overline{\nabla}_i\overline{R}_{j\alpha}+\overline{\nabla}_j\overline{R}_{i\alpha}-\overline{\nabla}_\alpha\overline{R}_{ij})].$$

Using

$$\Delta(h^{\alpha}_{ij})^2=2|\nabla A|^2+2h^{\alpha}_{ij}\Delta h^{\alpha}_{ij},$$

and the antisymmetric of b^{α}_{β}, $\langle e_\beta,\overline{\nabla}_H e_\alpha\rangle_{\overline{g}}$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}|A|^2 &= \Delta|A|^2-2|\nabla A|^2\\ &\quad +2\overline{R}_{ik}h^{\alpha}_{ij}h^{\alpha}_{kj}-2\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta}h^{\alpha}_{ij}h^{\beta}_{ij}-r|A|^2\\ &\quad +2h^{\alpha}_{ij}[\overline{\nabla}_k\overline{R}_{\alpha ijk}+\overline{\nabla}_j\overline{R}_{\alpha kik}\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}(\overline{\nabla}_i\overline{R}_{j\alpha}+\overline{\nabla}_j\overline{R}_{i\alpha}-\overline{\nabla}_\alpha\overline{R}_{ij})\\ &\quad -2\overline{R}_{lijk}h^{\alpha}_{lk}+2\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta jk}h^{\beta}_{ik}+2\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta ik}h^{\beta}_{jk}\\ &\quad -\overline{R}_{lkik}h^{\alpha}_{lj}-\overline{R}_{lkjk}h^{\alpha}_{li}+\overline{R}_{\alpha k\beta k}h^{\beta}_{ij}\\ &\quad +h^{\alpha}_{im}h^{\gamma}_{mk}h^{\gamma}_{jk}-h^{\alpha}_{mk}(h^{\gamma}_{mj}h^{\gamma}_{ik}-h^{\gamma}_{mk}h^{\gamma}_{ij})-h^{\beta}_{ik}(h^{\beta}_{lj}h^{\alpha}_{lk}-h^{\beta}_{lk}h^{\alpha}_{lj})].\end{aligned}$$

The fourth order terms can be calculated as in [32]. The equation (3.7) can be proved similarly.

Q. E. D.

The following theorem follows easily from Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3 and (3.7).

Theorem 3.4. *If the Ricci curvature of $\overline{g}(t)$ is uniformly bounded, and the second fundamental form of Σ_t is uniformly bounded under the Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow for all time $t\in[0,T)$, then the solution can be extended beyond T.*

4. The evolution of the Kähler angle along the flow

This is the main section of this paper, in which we will derive the evolution equation of the Kähler angle along the Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow.

Let $(M,\overline{g})$ be a Kähler surface. Let $\overline{g}(t)$ evolve along the Kähler-Ricci flow on M, and Σ_t evolve along the mean curvature flow in $(M,\overline{g}(t))$. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1,e_2,e_3,e_4\}$ on $(M,\overline{g}(t))$ along Σ_t such that $\{e_1,e_2\}$ is the basis of Σ_t. Let J_{Σ_t} be an almost complex structure in a tubular neighborhood of Σ_t on $(M,\overline{g}(t))$ with

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl} J_{\Sigma_t}e_1 &=& e_2\\ J_{\Sigma_t}e_2 &=& -e_1\\ J_{\Sigma_t}e_3 &=& e_4\\ J_{\Sigma_t}e_4 &=& -e_3.\end{array}\right. \tag{4.1}$$

It is proved in [4] that

$$\begin{aligned}|\overline{\nabla}J_{\Sigma_t}|^2 &= |h^4_{1k}+h^3_{2k}|^2+|h^4_{2k}-h^3_{1k}|^2\\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}|H|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(M, \overline{g}(t), \Sigma_t)$ be a Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow. Then the evolution equation of $\cos\alpha$ is*

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta)\cos\alpha = |\overline{\nabla} J_{\Sigma_t}|^2 \cos\alpha + \overline{R}\sin^2\alpha\cos\alpha, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\overline{R}$ is the scalar curvature of $(M, \overline{g}(t))$. As a corollary, if the initial surface Σ_0 is symplectic, then along the flow, at each time t, Σ_t is symplectic, and if the initial surface Σ_0 is Lagrangian, then along the flow, at each time t, Σ_t is Lagrangian.

Proof. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ on $(M, \overline{g}(t))$ along Σ_t such that $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is the basis of Σ_t and ω_t takes the form

$$\omega_t = \cos\alpha u_1 \wedge \ u_2 + \cos\alpha u_3 \wedge u_4 + \sin\alpha u_1 \wedge u_3 - \sin\alpha u_2 \wedge u_4, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ is the dual basis of $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$.

Using the evolution equation of the metric (3.1) and (4.4), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\cos\alpha &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\omega(e_1,e_2)}{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\langle Je_1, e_2\rangle_{\overline{g}}}{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\overline{g}_{2A}(Je_1)^A e_2}{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}} \\
&= \frac{-\overline{Ric}(Je_1,e_2) + r/2\omega(e_1,e_2) + \omega(\nabla_1 H, e_2) + \omega(e_1, \nabla_2 H)}{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}} \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}\cos\alpha g^{ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{ij} \\
&= -\overline{Ric}(Je_1,e_2) + \frac{r}{2}\cos\alpha + \sin\alpha(H^4,1 + H^3,2) - |H|^2\cos\alpha \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{2}\cos\alpha(\overline{R}_{11} + \overline{R}_{22}) - \frac{r}{2}\cos\alpha + |H^2|\cos\alpha \\
&= -\overline{Ric}(Je_1,e_2) + \sin\alpha(H^4,1 + H^3,2) + \frac{1}{2}\cos\alpha(\overline{R}_{11} + \overline{R}_{22}).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall the equation in Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 in [19] for $\cos\alpha$,

$$\Delta\cos\alpha = -|\overline{\nabla} J_{\Sigma_t}|^2\cos\alpha + \sin\alpha(H^4,1 + H^3,2) - \sin^2\alpha\overline{Ric}(Je_1,e_2).$$

Thus we have

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta)\cos\alpha = |\overline{\nabla} J_{\Sigma_t}|^2\cos\alpha - \cos^2\alpha\overline{Ric}(Je_1,e_2) + \frac{1}{2}\cos\alpha(\overline{R}_{11} + \overline{R}_{22}).$$

Using the equation in Lemma 3.2 in [19], $\overline{Ric}(Je_1,e_2) = \frac{1}{\cos\alpha}(\overline{R}_{1212} + \overline{R}_{1234})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-\cos^2\alpha\overline{Ric}(Je_1,e_2) + \frac{1}{2}\cos\alpha(\overline{R}_{11} + \overline{R}_{22}) &= -\cos\alpha(\overline{R}_{1212} + \overline{R}_{1234}) \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{2}\cos\alpha(2\overline{R}_{1212} + \overline{R}_{3i3i} + \overline{R}_{4i4i}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\cos\alpha(\overline{R}_{3i3i} + \overline{R}_{4i4i} - 2\overline{R}_{1234}) \\
&= \overline{R}\cos\alpha\sin^2\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality was derived in Lemma 3.2 of [23]. Therefore we proved the theorem. Q. E. D.

Then by the parabolic minimum principle, we obtain that

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose the smooth solution of (1.2) exists on* $[0, T)$. *Let* $(M, \overline{g}(0))$ *be a Kähler surface with nonnegative scalar curvature. If* $\cos\alpha(x, 0) \geq c_0 > 0$, *then*

$$\cos\alpha(x, t) \geq c_0,$$

for all $t \in [0, T)$.

Proof. Recall the evolution equation of the scalar curvature of M under the Kähler-Ricci flow,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\overline{R} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\overline{R} + |\overline{Ric}|^2 - \frac{r\overline{R}}{2}. \tag{4.5}$$

Thus by the parabolic minimum principle, if the scalar curvature of the initial surface is nonnegative, then the scalar curvature of $\overline{R}(t)$ is nonnegative for all $t \in [0, T)$. Using the parabolic minimum principle again and (4.3), we proved the theorem. Q. E. D.

Corollary 4.3. *We can rewrite the equation (4.3) as*

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta)\sin^2(\alpha/2) = -|\overline{\nabla}J_{\Sigma_t}|^2\cos\alpha - 4\overline{R}\sin^2(\alpha/2)\cos^2(\alpha/2)\cos\alpha, \tag{4.6}$$

which yields that, along the flow (1.2), the Kähler angle decrease to zero exponentially if $\overline{R} \geq \delta > 0$ *and* $\cos\alpha(\cdot, 0) \geq c_0$.

Remark 4.4. *The same evolution equation for* $\cos\alpha$ *along the mean curvature flow in the case that* M *is Kähler-Einstein surface was proved by Chen-Li* [4] *and Wang* [32].

Lemma 4.5.

$$|\nabla\alpha|^2 \leq |\overline{\nabla}J_{\Sigma_t}|^2.$$

Proof. Using the frame in Theorem 4.1 and (4.4), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\nabla}_1\cos\alpha &= \omega(\overline{\nabla}_{e_1}e_1, e_2) + \omega(e_1, \overline{\nabla}_{e_1}e_2) \\ &= (h^4_{11} + h^3_{12})\sin\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Similarly, we can get that

$$\overline{\nabla}_2\cos\alpha = (h^3_{22} + h^4_{12})\sin\alpha. \tag{4.8}$$

Therefore,

$$|\nabla\alpha|^2 \leq |\overline{\nabla}J_{\Sigma_t}|^2.$$

Q. E. D.

5. Monotonicity formula

In this section we assume that the Kähler-Ricci flow exists on $[0,T]$, i.e, the Ricci curvature of $(M,\overline{g}(t))$ is uniformly bounded on $[0,T]$ (see [27]). We only consider the singularity of the mean curvature flow, we assume that it blows up at T. The monotonicity formula for the mean curvature flow was essentially proved by Huisken [17] and Hamilton [14]. The weighted monotonicity formula for the symplectic mean curvature flow was proved by Chen-Li in [4]. In this section, we prove one weighted monotonicity formula for the flow (1.2). Since the Kähler-Ricci flow exists $[0,T]$, thus the injective of $(M,\overline{g}(t))$ is uniformly bounded from below on $[0,T)$ [30]. We can therefore choose a cut-off function on $(M,\overline{g}(t))$ to prove the monotonicity formula for the symplectic Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow.

Let $H(X,X_0,t,t_0)$ be the backward heat kernel on $\mathbb{R}^4$. Define

$$\rho(X,t)=(4\pi(t_0-t))H(X,X_0,t,t_0)=\frac{1}{4\pi(t_0-t)}\exp-\frac{|X-X_0|^2}{4(t_0-t)}$$

for $t<t_0$, such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho=-\Delta\rho-\rho\left(\left|H+\frac{(X-X_0)^{\perp}}{2(t_0-t)}\right|^2-|H|^2\right).$$

where $(X-X_0)^{\perp}$ is the normal component of $X-X_0$.

Let i_M be the lower bound of the injective radius of $(M,\overline{g}(t))$. We choose a cut-off function $\phi\in C_0^{\infty}(B_{2\tilde{r}}(X_0))$ with $\phi\equiv 1$ in $B_{\tilde{r}}(X_0)$, where $X_0\in M$, $0<2\tilde{r}<i_M$. Choose a normal coordinates in $B_{2\tilde{r}}(X_0)$ in $(M,\overline{g}(t))$ and express F using the coordinates (F^1,F^2,F^3,F^4) as a function in $\mathbf{R^4}$. Set $v(x,t)=e^{\bar{R}_0 t}\cos\alpha(x,t)$, where $\overline{R}_0=\max\{0,-\overline{R}\}$ and $\overline{R}$ is the scalar curvature of M. We define

$$\Phi(F,X_0,t,t_0)=\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{1}{v}\phi(F)\rho(F,t)d\mu_t.$$

Proposition 5.1. *There are positive constants c_1 and c_2 depending only on $(M,\overline{g}(t))$, F_0 and $\tilde{r}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e^{c_1\sqrt{t_0-t}}\Phi(F,X_0,t,t_0)\ \le\ & -e^{c_1\sqrt{t_0-t}}\left(\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{1}{v}\phi\rho(F,t)|H+\frac{(F-X_0)^{\perp}}{2(t_0-t)}|^2d\mu_t\right.\\ &\left.+\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{1}{v}|\overline{\nabla}J_{\Sigma_t}|^2\phi\rho(F,t)d\mu_t+\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{2|\nabla\cos\alpha|^2}{v^3}\phi\rho(F,t)d\mu_t\right)\\ &+c_2(t_0-t).\end{aligned}\tag{5.1}$$

Proof. By the evolution equation of the Kähler angle in Theorem 4.1, we have

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta)\frac{1}{v}\le-\frac{|\overline{\nabla}J|^2}{v}-\frac{2|\nabla v|^2}{v^3}.$$

So,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi(F,X_0,t,t_0)\ =\ \int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{1}{v}\phi\rho(F,t)d\mu_t+\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{1}{v}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho(F,t)\phi d\mu_t$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{1}{v}\phi\rho(F,t)|H|^2d\mu_t-\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{1}{v}\phi(\tilde{R}-r)\rho(F,t)\\
\leq\ & \int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{1}{v}\phi(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\Delta)\rho(F,t)d\mu_t-\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{1}{v}\phi\rho(F,t)|H|^2d\mu_t\\
& -\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{|\overline{\nabla}J_{\Sigma_t}|^2}{v}\phi\rho(F,t)d\mu_t-\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{2|\nabla v|^2}{v^3}\phi\rho(F,t)d\mu_t\\
& +\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{1}{v}\Delta\phi\rho(F,t)d\mu_t+2\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{1}{v}\nabla\phi\nabla\rho(F,t)d\mu_t\\
& -\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{1}{v}(\tilde{R}-r)\phi\rho(F,t)d\mu_t.
\end{aligned}
$$

In $[0,T)$, $\tilde{R}$ is uniformly bounded, thus

$$\left|\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{1}{v}\phi(\tilde{R}-r)\rho(F,t)\right|\leq C$$

and by (3.2)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_{\Sigma_t}d\mu_t\leq C\int_{\Sigma_t}d\mu_t,$$

so,

$$Area(\Sigma_t)\leq e^{CT}Area(\Sigma_0).$$

where the constant C depends on $(M,\overline{g}(t))$.

Straight computation leads to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho(F,t)=(\frac{1}{t_0-t}-\frac{\langle H,F-X_0\rangle}{2(t_0-t)}-\frac{|F-X_0|^2}{4(t_0-t)^2})\rho(F,t)$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta\exp(-\frac{|F-X_0|^2}{4(t_0-t)})\\
=\ & \exp(-\frac{|F-X_0|^2}{4(t_0-t)})(\frac{|\langle F-X_0,\nabla F\rangle|^2}{4(t_0-t)}-\frac{\langle F-X_0,\Delta F\rangle}{2(t_0-t)}-\frac{|\nabla F|^2}{2(t_0-t)}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that, in the induced metric on Σ_t,

$$|\nabla F|^2=2\ and\ \Delta F^\alpha=H^\alpha-g^{ij}\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\rho\sigma}\frac{\partial F^\rho}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial F^\sigma}{\partial x^j},$$

then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\Delta)\rho(F,t)\\
=\ & -(\frac{\langle F-X_0,H\rangle}{(t_0-t)}+\frac{|(F-X_0)^\perp|^2}{4(t_0-t)^2}+\frac{\langle F-X_0,g^{ij}\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\rho\sigma}\frac{\partial F^\rho}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial F^\sigma}{\partial x^j}e_\alpha\rangle}{2(t_0-t)})\rho(F,t).
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\Delta\phi=0,\nabla\phi=0$ in $B_r(X_0)$, we can see that

$$|\Delta\phi\rho(F,t)|\leq C\ and\ |\nabla\phi\nabla\rho(F,t)|\leq C.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{1}{v}\Delta\phi\rho(F,t)d\mu_t\le C\int_{\Sigma_t}d\mu_t\le C$$

$$\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{1}{v}\nabla\phi\nabla\rho(F,t)d\mu_t\le C\int_{\Sigma_t}d\mu_t\le C.$$

Since we choose a normal coordinates in $B_{2\tilde{r}}(X_0)$ in $(M,\overline{g}(t))$, we have $\overline{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\rho\sigma}(X_0,t)=0$, and $|g^{ij}\overline{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\rho\sigma}\frac{\partial F^\rho}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial F^\sigma}{\partial x^j}|\le C|F-X_0|$, thus

$$\frac{\langle F-X_0, g^{ij}\overline{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\rho\sigma}\frac{\partial F^\rho}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial F^\sigma}{\partial x^j}e_\alpha\rangle}{2(t_0-t)}\le C\frac{|F-X_0|^2}{2(t_0-t)}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\langle F-X_0, g^{ij}\overline{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\rho\sigma}\frac{\partial F^\rho}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial F^\sigma}{\partial x^j}e_\alpha\rangle}{2(t_0-t)}\rho(F,t)\le c_2\frac{\rho(F,t)}{\sqrt{t_0-t}}+c_3.$$

It concludes that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi(F,X_0,t,t_0) \quad\le\quad & -\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{1}{v}\phi\rho(F,t)|H+\frac{(F-X_0)^\perp}{2(t_0-t)}|^2d\mu_t\\ & -\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{|\overline{\nabla}J_{\Sigma_t}|^2}{v}\phi\rho(F,t)d\mu_t-\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{2|\nabla v|^2}{v^3}\phi\rho(F,t)d\mu_t\\ & +\frac{c_1}{\sqrt{t_0-t}}\Phi(F,X_0,t,t_0)+c_2.\end{aligned}$$

The proposition follows. Q. E. D.

6. NO TYPE I SINGULARITY

We assume in this section that the Kähler-Ricci flow exists globally. We study the singularity of the mean curvature flow if it blows up at T. Since the Kähler-Ricci flow exists for all time, the Ricci curvature is uniformly bounded, and the evolution equation of the Kähler angle along the Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow is the same as that of the mean curvature flow in Kähler-Einstein surface (c.f (4.3) and Proposition 3.2 in [4]), thus the analysis of the singularity of the mean curvature flow is the same as that of the mean curvature flow in Käher-Einstein surface [4]. For completeness, we give some details below.

We recall the classification of the singularities of the mean curvature flow. We say the mean curvature flow has type I singularity at $T>0$, if

$$\overline{\lim_{t\to T}}(T-t)\max_{\Sigma_t}|A|^2\le C,$$

for some positive constant C. Otherwise, we say the mean curvature flow has type II singularity.

Lemma 6.1. *Let* $U(t) = \max_{\Sigma_t} |A|^2$*. If the mean curvature flow blows up at* $T > 0$*, then there is a positive constant* c *depending only on the bound of the curvature* $(M, \overline{g}(t))$ *such that, if* $0 < T - t < \pi/16\sqrt{c}$*, the function* $U(t)$ *satisfies*

$$U(t) \geq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}(T-t)}.$$

Proof. By lemma3.3 and the parabolic maximum principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U(t) &\leq 2(U(t))^2 + c_1 U(t) + c_2\sqrt{U(t)} \\ &\leq 4(U(t))^2 + 4c,\end{aligned}$$

where c_1, c_2 are constants which depend only on the bounds of the curvature and its covariant derivatives of $(M, \overline{g}(t))$. This implies the desired inequality. Q. E. D.

Theorem 6.2. *Assume that the Kähler-Ricci flow exists globally. The symplectic Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow has no type I singularity at any* $T > 0$*.*

Proof. Suppose that the mean curvature flow has a type I singularity at $t_0 > 0$. Assume that

$$\lambda_k^2 = |A|^2(x_k, t_k) = \max_{t \leq t_k} |A|^2$$

and $x_k \to p \in \Sigma$, $t_k \to t_0$ as $k \to \infty$. We choose a local coordinate system on $(M, \overline{g}((t))$ around $F(p, t_0)$ such that $F(p, t_0) = 0$. And we rescale the mean curvature flow,

$$F_k(x, t) = \lambda_k(F(x, \lambda_k^{-2}t + t_k) - F(p, t_k)),\ t \in [-\lambda_k^2 t_k, 0].$$

Denote by Σ_t^k the rescaled surface $F_k(\cdot, t)$. By Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\frac{C}{t_0 - t_k} \geq |A|^2(x_k, t_k) \geq \frac{c}{t_0 - t_k}$$

for some uniform constants c and C independent of k. Therefore,

$$|A_k|^2(F(x_k, t_k) - F(p, t_k)) = |A|^2(F_k(x_k, 0)) = \lambda_k^{-2}|A|^2(x_k, t_k) \geq \lambda_k^{-2}\frac{c}{(t_0 - t_k)}.$$

Since the mean curvature flow has type I singularity at $t_0 > 0$, we have

$$\lambda_k^2(t_0 - t_k) \leq C.$$

So,

$$|A_k|^2(0) \geq c > 0,$$

for some uniform constants c. It is easy to see that

$$|A_k|^2(x, t) \leq 1,$$

thus there is a subsequence of F_k which we also denote by F_k, such that $F_k \to F_\infty$ in any ball $B_R(0) \subset \mathbf{R}^4$, and F_∞ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial F_\infty}{\partial t} = H_\infty \text{ with } 1 \geq |A_\infty|(0) \geq c > 0. \tag{6.1}$$

By the monotonicity formula (5.1), we know that $\lim_{t\to t_0} e^{c_1\sqrt{t_0-t}}\Phi$ exists. Using the equality

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Sigma_t^k}\frac{1}{v}\frac{1}{0-t}\phi_{\lambda_k r}(F_k)\exp(-\frac{|F_k+\lambda_k F(p,t_k)|^2}{4(0-t)})d\mu_t^k\\ &=\int_{\Sigma_{t_k+\lambda_k^{-2}t}}\frac{1}{v}\phi(F)\frac{1}{t_k-(t_k+\lambda_k^{-2}t)}\exp(-\frac{|F(x,t_k+\lambda_k^{-2}t)|^2}{4(t_k-(t_k+\lambda_k^{-2}t))})d\mu_t,\end{aligned}$$

we can get that, for any $-\infty<s_1<s_2<0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&e^{c_1\sqrt{t_k-(t_k+\lambda_k^{-2}s_2)}}\int_{\Sigma_{s_2}^k}\frac{1}{v}\frac{1}{0-s_2}\phi_{\lambda_k r}(F_k)\exp(-\frac{|F_k+\lambda_k F(p,t_k)|^2}{4(0-s_2)})d\mu_{s_2}^k\\ &-e^{c_1\sqrt{t_k-(t_k+\lambda_k^{-2}s_1)}}\int_{\Sigma_{s_1}^k}\frac{1}{v}\frac{1}{0-s_1}\phi_{\lambda_k r}(F_k)\exp(-\frac{|F_k+\lambda_k F(p,t_k)|^2}{4(0-s_1)})d\mu_{s_1}^k\\ &\to 0 \text{ as } k\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Integrating (5.1) from $t_k+\lambda_k^{-2}s_1$ to $t_k+\lambda_k^{-2}s_2$, we get that

$$|\overline{\nabla}J_{\Sigma_{t_k}}|^2\longrightarrow 0, \tag{6.2}$$

and

$$|H_k+\frac{(F_k+\lambda_k F(p,t_k))^\perp}{2(0-t)}|^2\longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } k\to\infty. \tag{6.3}$$

By (6.2), we get that

$$DJ_\infty\equiv 0,$$

where D is the derivative in $\mathbf{R}^4$, which implies that

$$H_\infty\equiv 0.$$

Since

$$|F(p,t_k)|\le\int_{t_k}^{t_0}|\frac{\partial F_k}{\partial t}|dt\le\int_{t_k}^{t_0}|H|dt\le C\sqrt{t_0-t_k}\le\frac{C}{\lambda_k},$$

thus $\lambda_k F(p,t_k)\to q$ as $k\to\infty$. Hence by (6.3), we have

$$(F_\infty+q)^\perp\equiv 0,$$

this implies that, for $\alpha=3,4$,

$$\det((h_\infty)_{ij}^\alpha)=0.$$

Since $H_\infty=0$, we also have, for $\alpha=3,4$,

$$tr((h_\infty)_{ij}^\alpha)=0.$$

This yields that $(h_\infty)_{ij}^\alpha=0$ for all $i,j=1,2,\alpha=3,4$ which implies that $|A_\infty|\equiv 0$. This contradicts with (6.1). Q. E. D.

7. GRAPH CASE

In this section we study the Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow (1.2) in a special case. Suppose that M is a product of compact Riemann surfaces M_1, M_2, i.e, $(M, \overline{g}) = (M_1 \times M_2, \overline{g}_1 \oplus \overline{g}_2)$. We denote by r_1, r_2 the average scalar curvature of M_1, M_2, we assume that $r_1 = r_2$. Then the Kähler-Ricci flow on M can be split into the Kähler-Ricci flow on M_1, M_2 respectively. It is well known that the Kähler-Ricci flow on surface exists for long time and converges to the surface with constant curvature at infinity. Suppose that Σ is a graph in $M = M_1 \times M_2$. Recall the definition of the graph in [7]. A surface Σ is a graph in $M_1 \times M_2$ if $v = \langle e_1 \wedge e_2, \omega_1\rangle \geq c_0 > 0$ where ω_1 is a unit Kähler form on M_1, and $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is an orthonormal frame on Σ. In this section, we used some ideas in [7] and [32].

Theorem 7.1. *Let $(M_1, \overline{g}_1, \omega_1)$ and $(M_2, \overline{g}_2, \omega_2)$ be Riemann surfaces which have the same average scalar curvature. Suppose that $M_1 \times M_2$ evolves along the Ricci flow flow and Σ_0 evolves along the mean curvature in $M_1 \times M_2$. If $v(\cdot, 0) > \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$, then the Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow exists for all time.*

Proof. Because M_1 and M_2 have the same average scalar curvature, the metric $\overline{g} = \overline{g}_1 \oplus \overline{g}_2$ on M evolves along the Kähler-Ricci flow is equivalent to $\overline{g}_1$ and $\overline{g}_2$ evolves along the Ricci flow respectively. When $n = 2$, $\overline{R}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\overline{R}g_{ij}$. Thus, $\overline{g}_1(t)$, $\overline{g}_2(t)$ satisfy the evolution equations:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcc} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\overline{g}_1)_{ij} & = & -\frac{1}{2}(\overline{R}_1 - r)(\overline{g}_1)_{ij} \\ (\overline{g}_1)_{ij}(0) & = & (\overline{g}_1)_0 \end{array}\right. ,$$

and

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcc} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\overline{g}_2)_{ij} & = & -\frac{1}{2}(\overline{R}_2 - r)(\overline{g}_2)_{ij} \\ (\overline{g}_2)_{ij}(0) & = & (\overline{g}_2)_0 \end{array}\right. .$$

By the work of Hamilton [15] and Chow [2], we know that, for any initial metrics the flows exist for long time and converge to $M_1^\infty \times M_2^\infty$ with constant curvatures at infinity.

Choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ on M along Σ_t such that $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is the basis of Σ_t. Set $u_1 = \langle e_1 \wedge e_2, \omega_1 + \omega_2\rangle$ and $u_2 = \langle e_1 \wedge e_2, \omega_1 - \omega_2\rangle$ where ω_2 is an unit Kähler form on M_2. Since both $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ and $\omega_1 - \omega_2$ are parallel Kähler forms on $M_1 \times M_2$, we see that Theorem 4.1 is applicable. Therefore,

$$(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta)u_1 = [(h_{2k}^3 + h_{1k}^4)^2 + (h_{2k}^4 - h_{1k}^3)^2]u_1 + \overline{R}_1 u_1(1 - u_1^2). \tag{7.1}$$

By switching e_3 and e_4, we get that

$$(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta)u_2 = [(h_{2k}^4 + h_{1k}^3)^2 + (h_{2k}^3 - h_{1k}^4)^2]u_2 + \overline{R}_2 u_2(1 - u_2^2). \tag{7.2}$$

It is easy to see that $\langle e_1 \wedge e_2, \omega_1\rangle^2 + \langle e_1 \wedge e_2, \omega_2\rangle^2 \leq 1$. The initial condition $v(x, 0) \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ implies that $u_i(x, 0) \geq v(x, 0) - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \geq c_0 > 0, i = 1, 2$. By (7.1) and (7.2), applying the maximum principle for parabolic equations,we see that $u_i(x, t)$ have positive lower bounds at any finite time. Suppose that $u_i \geq \delta$ for $0 \leq t < t_0$. Then we claim that the flow F can be extended smoothly to $t_0 + \varepsilon$ for some ε.

Set $u = u_1 + u_2$. Adding (7.1) into (7.2), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta)u &= u|A|^2 + 2(u_1 - u_2)h^3_{2k}h^4_{1k} - 2(u_1 - u_2)h^3_{1k}h^4_{2k} \\ &\quad + \overline{R}_1 u_1(1 - u_1^2) + \overline{R}_2 u_2(1 - u_2^2). \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

Since $u \geq 2\delta + |u_1 - u_2|$, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get that

$$(\frac{d}{dt} - \Delta)u \geq 2\delta|A|^2 - C, \tag{7.4}$$

where C is the lower bound of the scalar curvature of $(M, \overline{g}_1(t) \oplus \overline{g}_2(t))$.

Assume that (X_0, t_0) is a singularity point. As in the proof of Proposition 5.1, we can derive a weighted monotonicity formula for $\int_{\Sigma_t} \phi \frac{1}{u} \rho(F, X_0, t, t_0) d\mu_t$, where ϕ is the cut-off function in Proposition 5.1.

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Sigma_t} \phi \frac{1}{u}\rho(F, X_0, t, t_0) d\mu_t \\ \leq\ & \int_{\Sigma_t} \phi\rho\Delta\frac{1}{u} d\mu_t - 2\delta\int_{\Sigma_t}\phi\frac{|A|^2}{u^2}\rho d\mu_t - 2\int_{\Sigma_t}\phi\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^3}\rho d\mu_t \\ & + \int_{\Sigma_t}\phi\frac{C}{u^2}d\mu_t - \int_{\Sigma_t}\phi\frac{1}{u}\left(\Delta\rho + \left(\left|H + \frac{(F - X_0)^\perp}{2(t_0 - t)}\right|^2 - |H|^2\right)\rho\right) d\mu_t \\ & - \int_{\Sigma_t}\phi\frac{|H|^2}{u}\rho d\mu_t - \int_{\Sigma_t}\phi\frac{\tilde{R} - r}{u}\rho d\mu_t \\ \leq\ & -\int_{\Sigma_t}\phi\rho\left(\frac{2}{u^3}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{u}\left|H + \frac{(F - X_0)^\perp}{2(t_0 - t)}\right|^2 + 2\delta\frac{|A|^2}{u^2}d\mu_t\right) \\ & - \int_{\Sigma_t}\phi\frac{\tilde{R} - r}{u}\rho d\mu_t + \int_{\Sigma_t}\Delta\phi\frac{1}{u}\rho d\mu_t + 2\int_{\Sigma_t}\frac{1}{u}\nabla\phi\cdot\nabla\rho d\mu_t \\ \leq\ & C - 2\delta\int_{\Sigma_t}\phi\frac{|A|^2}{u^2}\rho(F, X_0, t, t_0)d\mu_t, \end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

where C depends on the scalar curvature of $\overline{R}_1, \overline{R}_2$ and the bound of $|\nabla\phi|, |\Delta\phi|$. From this we see that $\lim_{t\to t_0}\int_{\Sigma_t}\phi\frac{1}{u}\rho d\mu_t$ exists.

Let $0 < \lambda_i \to \infty$ and let F_i be the blow up sequence:

$$F_i(x, s) = \lambda_i(F(x, t_0 + \lambda_i^{-2}s) - X_0).$$

Let $d\mu^i_s$ denote the induced volume form on Σ^i_s by F_i. It is obvious that,

$$\int_{\Sigma_t}\phi\frac{1}{u}\rho(F, X_0, t, t_0)d\mu_t = \int_{\Sigma^i_s}\phi\frac{1}{u}\rho(F_i, 0, s, 0)d\mu^i_s.$$

Therefore we get that,

$$\frac{d}{ds}\int_{\Sigma^i_s}\phi\frac{1}{u}\rho(F_i, 0, s, 0)d\mu^i_s$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\lambda_i^2} - 2\delta \int_{\Sigma_s^i} \phi \frac{|A_i|^2}{u^2} \rho(F_i, 0, s, 0) d\mu_s^i$$

Note that $t_0 + \lambda_i^{-2} s \to t_0$ for any fixed s as $i \to \infty$ and that $\lim_{t\to t_0} \int_{\Sigma_t} \phi \frac{1}{u} \rho d\mu_t$ exists. By the above monotonicity formula, we have, for any fixed s_1 and s_2,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \ &\leftarrow\ \int_{\Sigma_{s_1}^i} \phi \frac{1}{u} \rho(F_i, 0, s_1, 0) d\mu_{s_1}^i - \int_{\Sigma_{s_2}^i} \phi \frac{1}{u} \rho(F_i, 0, s_2, 0) d\mu_{s_2}^i \\ &\geq\ 2\delta \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_{\Sigma_s^i} \phi \frac{|A_i|^2}{u^2} \rho(F_i, 0, s, 0) d\mu_s^i. \end{aligned}$$

Since u is bounded below, we have

$$\int_{s_1}^{s_2} \int_{\Sigma_s^i} |A_i|^2 \rho(F_i, 0, s, 0) \to 0 \text{ as } i \to \infty.$$

Therefore, for any ball $B_R(0) \subset R^4$,

$$\int_{\Sigma_{s_i}^i \cap B_R(0)} |A_i|^2 \to 0 \text{ as } i \to \infty. \tag{7.6}$$

Because u has a positive lower bound, we see that Σ_t can locally be written as the graph of a map $f_t : \Omega \subset M_1 \to M_2$ with uniformly bounded $|df_t|$. Consider the blow up of $f_{t_0 + \frac{s_i}{\lambda_i^2}}$,

$$f_i(y) = \lambda_i f_{t_0 + \lambda_i^{-2} s_i}(\lambda_i^{-1} y).$$

It is clear that $|df_i|$ is also uniformly bounded and $\lim_{i\to\infty} f_i(0) = 0$. By Arzzela theorem, $f_i \to f_\infty$ in C^α on any compact set. By the inequality (29) in [21], we have

$$|A_i| \leq |\nabla df_i| \leq C(1 + |df_i|^3)|A_i|,$$

where ∇df_i is measured with respect to the induced metric on $\Sigma_{s_i}^i$. From equation (7.6) it follows that, for any ball $B_R(0) \subset R^4$,

$$\int_{\Sigma_{s_i}^i \cap B_R(0)} |\nabla df_i|^2 \to 0 \text{ as } i \to \infty,$$

which implies that $f_i \to f_\infty$ in $C^\alpha \cap W_{loc}^{1,2}$ and the second derivative of f_∞ is 0. It is then clear that $\Sigma_{s_i}^i \to \Sigma^\infty$ and Σ^∞ is the graph of a linear function. Therefore,

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \int \phi \rho(F_i, 0, s_i, 0) d\mu_{s_i}^i = \int \rho(F_\infty, 0, -1, 0) d\mu^\infty = 1,$$

We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t\to t_0} \int \rho(F, X_0, t, t_0) \ &=\ \lim_{i\to\infty} \int \phi \rho(F, X_0, t_0 + \lambda_i^{-2} s_i, t_0) \\ &=\ \lim_{i\to\infty} \int \phi \rho(F_i, 0, s_i, 0) d\mu_{s_i}^i = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

By the White's regularity theorem [31], we know that (X_0, t_0) is a regular point. This proves the theorem. Q. E. D.

Theorem 7.2. *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 7.1. If the scalar curvature of M_1, M_2 is positive, then it converges to a totally geodesic surface at infinity.*

Proof. Since the scalar curvature of M is positive, by (7.1) and (7.2),

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta)(1-u_1)\leq -\overline{R}_1u_1(1+u_1)(1-u_1)\leq -c_1\overline{R}_1(1-u_1),$$

where c_1 depends only on the lower bound of u_1. Applying the maximum principle, we get that $1-u_1\leq ce^{-c_1\bar{R}_1t}$. Similarly, $1-u_2\leq ce^{-c_2\bar{R}_2t}$, where c_1,c_2 depends only on the lower bound of u_1,u_2. By (7.3), for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists T such that as $t>T$, $u_1>1-\varepsilon$, $u_2>1-\varepsilon$, $|u_1-u_2|<\varepsilon$ and

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta)u\geq(1-\varepsilon)|A|^2.$$

From (3.6) we see that

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta)|A|^2\leq -2|\nabla A|^2+C_1|A|^4+C_2|A|^2+C_3,$$

where C_1,C_2,C_3 are constants that depend on the bounds of the curvature tensor and its covariant derivatives of $(M,\overline{g}(t))$.

Let $p>1$ be a constant to be fixed later. Now we consider the function $\frac{|A|^2}{e^{pu}}$.

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta)\frac{|A|^2}{e^{pu}} &= 2\nabla(\frac{|A|^2}{e^{pu}})\cdot\frac{\nabla e^{pu}}{e^{pu}}\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{e^{2pu}}[e^{pu}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta)|A|^2-|A|^2(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta)e^{pu}]\\ &\leq 2p\nabla(\frac{|A|^2}{e^{pu}})\cdot\nabla u\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{e^{2pu}}[e^{pu}(C_1|A|^4+C_2|A|^2+C_3)-p|A|^2e^{pu}[(1-\varepsilon)|A|^2-p|\nabla u|^2]].\end{aligned}$$

From (4.7) and (4.8), it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla u_1|^2 &\leq 2(1-u_1^2)((h^4_{1k})^2+(h^3_{2k})^2),\\ |\nabla u_2|^2 &\leq 2(1-u_2^2)((h^3_{1k})^2+(h^4_{2k})^2).\end{aligned}$$

So, for t is sufficiently large, we have

$$|\nabla u_1|^2\leq\varepsilon|A|^2,\ |\nabla u_2|^2\leq\varepsilon|A|^2.$$

and

$$|\nabla u|^2\leq 2(|\nabla u_1|^2+|\nabla u_2|^2)\leq 4\varepsilon|A|^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta)\frac{|A|^2}{e^{pu}} &\leq 2p\nabla(\frac{|A|^2}{e^{pu}})\cdot\nabla u\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{e^{pu}}[(C_1-p(1-\varepsilon)+4p^2\varepsilon)|A|^4+C_2|A|^2+C_3].\end{aligned}$$

Set $p^2=1/\varepsilon$, then

$$C_1-p(1-\varepsilon)+4p^2\varepsilon = C_1-\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}+\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}+4.$$

As t is sufficiently large, i.e. ε is sufficiently close to 0, we have

$$(C_1 - \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + 4) \leq -1.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta)\frac{|A|^2}{e^{pu}} &\leq 2p\nabla(\frac{|A|^2}{e^{pu}}) \cdot \nabla u - \frac{|A|^4}{e^{pu}} + C_2\frac{|A|^2}{e^{pu}} + \frac{C_3}{e^{pu}} \\ &\leq 2p\nabla(\frac{|A|^2}{e^{pu}}) \cdot \nabla u - \frac{|A|^4}{e^{2pu}} + C_2\frac{|A|^2}{e^{pu}} + \frac{C_3}{e^{pu}}\end{aligned}$$

Applying the maximum principle for parabolic equations, we conclude that $\frac{|A|^2}{e^{pu}}$ is uniformly bounded, thus $|A|^2$ is also uniformly bounded. Thus $F(\cdot, t)$ converges to F_∞ in C^2 as $t \to \infty$. Since

$$1 - u_1 \leq ce^{-c_1\bar{R}_1 t},$$

and

$$1 - u_2 \leq ce^{-c_1\bar{R}_2 t},$$

So, we have $u_1 \equiv 1$ and $u_2 \equiv 2$ at infinity. By (7.3), we see that the second fundamental form is zero identically, in other words, the limiting surface F_∞ is totally geodesic.

Q. E. D.

8. STABILITY OF KÄHLER-RICCI MEAN CURVATURE FLOW

Let (M, J) be a Kähler surface with $c_1(M) > 0$. Now we choose $r = 2$. Suppose (M, J) is pre-stable and the Futaki invariant of the class $2\pi c_1(M)$ vanishes. Chen-Li [3] proved that for any γ, there exists a small positive constant $\varepsilon(\gamma)$ such that for any metric $\overline{g}$ in the subspace of Kähler metrics

$$\{\omega_{\bar{g}} \in 2\pi c_1(M) || Rm|(\omega_{\bar{g}}) \leq \gamma, |Ric(\omega_{\bar{g}}) - \omega_{\bar{g}}| \leq \varepsilon\},$$

the Kähler Ricci flow with the initial metric $\omega_{\bar{g}}$ will converge exponentially fast to a Kähler-Einstein metric.

That is

$$\|\overline{Ric}(g(t,\cdot)) - \overline{g}(t,\cdot)\|_{\bar{g}(t,\cdot)} \leq C\varepsilon e^{-\beta t},$$

for some positive constants C and β which depend only on γ and ε. In this case, we show that, if the initial surface is sufficiently close to a holomorphic curve, then the Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow exists for all time (the idea is similar to that in [18]) and converges to $(M, \overline{g}_\infty, \Sigma_\infty)$ at infinity.

Note that, since Σ_0 is smooth, it is well-known that

$$\lim_{r\to 0}\int_{\Sigma_0} \phi(F)\frac{1}{4\pi r^2}e^{\frac{|F-X_0|^2}{4r^2}}d\mu_0 = 1$$

for any $X_0 \in \Sigma_0$. So we can find a sufficiently small r_0 such that

$$\int_{\Sigma_0} \phi(F)\frac{1}{4\pi r_0^2}e^{\frac{|F-X_0|^2}{4r_0^2}}d\mu_0 \leq 1 + \varepsilon_0/2 \tag{8.1}$$

for all $X_0 \in M$, where ε_0 is the constant in White's Theorem.

Theorem 8.1. *There exist sufficiently small constant* $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ *such that, if* $(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)/r_0^6 \ll \varepsilon_0$ *where* r_0 *is defined in (8.1) and* ε_0 *is a constant in White's theorem, and at any time* t,

$$\|\overline{Ric}(g(t,\cdot)) - \overline{g}(t,\cdot)\|_{\bar{g}(t,\cdot)} \leq C\varepsilon_1 e^{-\beta t},$$

and the Kähler angle of the initial surface satisfies $\cos\alpha_0 \geq 1-\varepsilon_2$, *then Kähler-Ricci mean curvature flow exists globally and it converges to* $(M, \overline{g}_\infty, \Sigma_\infty)$ *at infinity. Furthermore,* Σ_∞ *is the holomorphic curve in* $(M, \overline{g}_\infty)$ *and* $(M, \overline{g}_\infty)$ *is Kähler-Einstein surface.*

Proof. Since along the Ricci flow, at any time t we have,

$$|\overline{Ric} - \omega| \leq C\varepsilon_1 e^{-\beta t},$$

then at any time t,

$$|\tilde{R} - 2| \leq C\varepsilon_1 e^{-\beta t}. \tag{8.2}$$

By (4.3), along the mean curvature flow, $\cos\alpha$ increase, i.e, $\cos\alpha \geq 1-\varepsilon_2$. Using the equation of (4.6) we can obtain that

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta)\sin^2\alpha/2 \leq -2c\varepsilon_2(1+\varepsilon_2)\sin^2\alpha/2.$$

So,

$$\sin^2\alpha/2 \leq \sin^2\alpha_0/2e^{-ct} \leq \varepsilon_2 e^{-ct}. \tag{8.3}$$

By (3.2),

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Sigma_t} d\mu_t \leq \int_{\Sigma_t} |\tilde{R}-2| d\mu_t \leq C\varepsilon_1 e^{-\beta t}\int_{\Sigma_t} d\mu_t,$$

thus,

$$Area(\Sigma_t) \leq C Area(\Sigma_0).$$

Because $\omega(t)$ is always closed, we can see that

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} \cos\alpha d\mu_t = \int_{\Sigma_t} \omega$$

is constant under the continuous deformation in t. Thus ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_{\Sigma_t}(1-\cos\alpha)d\mu_t = -\int_{\Sigma_t}|H|^2 d\mu_t - \int_{\Sigma_t}(\tilde{R}-2)d\mu_t. \tag{8.4}$$

Integrating the above inequality from t to $t+1$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_t^{t+1}\int_{\Sigma_t}|H|^2 d\mu_t dt &\leq \int_{\Sigma_t}\sin^2\alpha/2 d\mu_t + \int_t^{t+1}\int_{\Sigma_s}|\tilde{R}-2|d\mu_s ds \\ &\leq C Area(\Sigma_0)(\varepsilon_2 e^{-ct} + \varepsilon_1 e^{-\beta t}) \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)e^{-\lambda t},\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = \min\{c, \beta\}$. From this we can derive an L^1-estimate of the mean curvature vector.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \int_{\Sigma_s} |H| d\mu_s ds &= \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \int_k^{k+1} \int_{\Sigma_s} |H| d\mu_s ds \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} (\int_k^{k+1} \int_{\Sigma_s} |H|^2 d\mu_s ds)^{1/2} (\int_k^{k+1} Area\Sigma_t)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C Area(\Sigma_0)^{1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} (\int_k^{k+1} \int_{\Sigma_s} |H|^2 d\mu_s ds)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)^{1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda k}{2}} \\
&\leq C \frac{(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)^{1/2}}{1 - e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}},
\end{aligned}$$

where C depends only on the area of the initial surface Σ_0.

Now we explore a possible singularity (X_0, T). We study the density in White's local regularity theorem [31]. Recall that it is defined by

$$\Phi(X, X_0, t, t - r^2) = \int_{\Sigma_{t-r^2}} \phi(F) \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} e^{-\frac{|F - X_0|^2}{4r^2}} d\mu_{t-r^2},$$

where ϕ is a cut off function around X_0 on M such that $\phi \equiv 1$ in $B_r(X_0)$. Differentiating this equation with respect to t we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Sigma_{t-r^2}} \phi(F) \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} e^{-\frac{|F-X_0|^2}{4r^2}} d\mu_{t-r^2} &= \int_{\Sigma_{t-r^2}} \bigtriangledown \phi \cdot H \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} e^{-\frac{|F-X_0|^2}{4r^2}} d\mu_{t-r^2} \\
&\quad - \int_{\Sigma_{t-r^2}} \frac{\phi}{8\pi r^4} e^{-\frac{|F-X_0|^2}{4r^2}} \langle F - X_0, H \rangle d\mu_{t-r^2} \\
&\quad - \int_{\Sigma_{t-r^2}} \frac{\phi}{4\pi r^2} e^{-\frac{|F-X_0|^2}{4r^2}} |H|^2 d\mu_{t-r^2} \\
&\quad - \int_{\Sigma_{t-r^2}} \frac{\phi}{4\pi r^2} e^{-\frac{|F-X_0|^2}{4r^2}} (\tilde{R} - 2) d\mu_{t-r^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

Integrating (8.5) from r_0^2 to T we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Sigma_{T-r_0^2}} \phi(F) \frac{1}{4\pi r_0^2} e^{-\frac{|F-X_0|^2}{4r_0^2}} d\mu_{T-r_0^2} \leq \int_{\Sigma_0} \phi(F) \frac{1}{4\pi r_0^2} e^{-\frac{|F-X_0|^2}{4r_0^2}} d\mu_0 \\
&+ \int_{r_0}^T \int_{\Sigma_{t-r_0^2}} | \bigtriangledown \phi| |H| \frac{1}{4\pi r_0^2} e^{-\frac{|F-X_0|^2}{4r_0^2}} d\mu_{t-r_0^2} dt \\
&+ \int_{r_0}^T \int_{\Sigma_{t-r_0^2}} \frac{\phi}{8\pi r_0^4} e^{-\frac{|F-X_0|^2}{4r_0^2}} |F - X_0| |H| d\mu_{t-r_0^2} dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\int_{r_0}^{T}\int_{\Sigma_{t-r_0^2}}\frac{\phi}{4\pi r_0^2}e^{-\frac{|F-X_0|^2}{4r_0^2}}|\tilde{R}-2|d\mu_{t-r_0^2}dt.$$

Using the L^1-estimate of the mean curvature vector and (8.2), note that $|\nabla\phi|\le C$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Sigma_{T-r_0^2}}\phi(F)\frac{1}{4\pi r^2}e^{-\frac{|F-X_0|^2}{4r^2}}d\mu_{t-r^2} &\le 1+\varepsilon_0/2+\frac{C}{4\pi r_0^2}\frac{(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1-e^{-\lambda/2}}\\ &\quad +\frac{C}{8\pi r_0^3}\frac{(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1-e^{-\lambda/2}}+\frac{C\varepsilon_1}{4\pi r_0^2}.\end{aligned}$$

If $(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)/r_0^6\ll\varepsilon_0$, then

$$\int_{\Sigma_{T-r_0^2}}\phi(F)\frac{1}{4\pi r^2}e^{-\frac{|F-X_0|^2}{4r^2}}d\mu_{t-r^2}\le 1+\varepsilon_0.$$

Applying White's theorem we obtain an uniform estimate of the second fundamental from which implies the global existence and convergence of the mean curvature flow. From (8.3) we see that $\cos\alpha_\infty=1$, that is Σ_∞ is a holomorphic curve. This proves the theorem.

Q. E. D

References

[1] *H. Cao, Deformation of Kähler metrics to Kähler-Einstein metrics on compact Kähler manifolds*, Invent. Math., **81** (1985), 359-372.

[2] *B. Chow, The Ricci flow on the 2-sphere,* J. Diff. Geom., **33** (1991), 325-334.

[3] *X. Chen, H. Li, Stability of Kähler-Ricci flow,* J. Geoem. Anal., **20** (2010), 306-334.

[4] *J. Chen and J. Li, Mean curvature flow of surface in 4-manifolds*, Adv. Math., **163** (2001), 287-309.

[5] *J. Chen, J. Li, Singularity of curvature flow of Lagrangian submanifold*, Invent. Math. **156** (2004), no.1, 25-51.

[6] *J. Chen and G. Tian, Moving symplectic curves in Kähler-Einstein surfaces*, Acta Math. Sinica, English Series, **16**(2000), 541-548.

[7] *J. Chen, J. Li and G. Tian, Two-dimensional graphs moving by mean curvature flow*, Acta Math. Sinica, English Series, **18**, (2002), 209-224.

[8] *X. Chen, and G. Tian, Ricci flow on Kähler-Einstein surfaces*, Invent. Math., **147**, (2002), 487-544.

[9] *S. S. Chern and J. Wolfson, Minimal surfaces by moving frames*, Amer. J. Math., 105(1983), 59-83.

[10] *B. Chow, P. Lu and L. Ni, Hamilton's Ricci flow*, Lectures in Contemporary Mathematics, 2006.

[11] *D. DeTurck, Deforming metrics in direction of their Ricci tensors*, J. Differential Geom., 18(1983), 157-162.

[12] *R. Hamilton, Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, J. Diff. Geom., 17(1982), 255-306.

[13] *R. Hamilton, A matrix harnack estimate for the heat equation*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 1(1993), 113-126.

[14] *R. Hamilton, Monotonicity formulas for parabolic flows on manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 1(1993), 127-137.

[15] *R. Hamilton, The Ricci flow on surfaces*, in "Mathematics and Geneeral relativity", Comtemp. Maht. 71, AMS Providence, RI, (1988), 237-262.
[16] *G. Huisken, Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres*, J. Diff. Geom., 20(1984), 237-266.
[17] *G. Huisken, Asymptotic behavior for singularities of the mean curvature flow*, J. Diff. Geom. **31** (1990), 285-299.
[18] *X. Han and J. Li, The mean curvature flow approach to the symplectic isotopy problem*, IMRN, **26** (2005), 1611-1620.
[19] *X. Han, J. Li, Symplecitc critical surfaces in Kähler surfaces*, J. Eur. Math. Soc., 12(2) (2010), 505-527.
[20] *X. Han, J. Li, A Lagrangian mean curvature flow along a Kähler-Ricci flow*, preprint.
[21] *T. Ilmanen, Singularities of mean curvature flow of surfaces*, Preprint, 1997.
[22] *O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, V. A. Solonnikov and N. N. Uralceva, Linear and quasilinear equations of Parabolic Type*, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1968.
[23] *M. J. Micallef and J. G. Wolfson, The second variation of area of minimal surfaces in four-manifolds*, Math. Ann., 295(1993), 245-267.
[24] *P. Perelman, The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, Preprint.
[25] *P. Perelman, The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, Preprint.
[26] *P. Perelman, The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, Preprint.
[27] *N. Sesum, Curvature tensor under the Ricci flow*, Amer. J. Math., 127(2005), 1315-1324.
[28] *J. Song and G. Tian, The Kähler-Ricci flow on minimal surfaces of positive Kodaira dimension*, Invent. Math., 170(2007), 609-653.
[29] *J. Song and G. Tian, Canonical measures and Kähler-Ricci flow*, Preprint.
[30] *G. Tian and X. Zhu, Convergence of Kähler-Ricci flow*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 20(2007), 675-699.
[31] *B. White, A local regularity theorem for classical mean curvature flow*, preprint, 1999, revised 2002.
[32] *M.-T. Wang, Mean curvature flow of surfaces in Einstein four manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom., 57(2001), 301-338.
[33] *Z. Zhang, scalar curvature behavior for finite time singularity of Kähler-Ricci flow*, preprint, arXiv:0901.1474.

Xiaoli Han, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. of China.
E-mail address: `xlhan@math.tsinghua.edu.cn`

Jiayu Li, Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China Hefei 230026, AMSS CAS Beijing 100190, P. R. China
E-mail address: `lijia@amss.ac.cn`